





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS . P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,761	02/11/2002	Robert J. Smith	2103-020243	5580	
28289	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.			NGO, LIEN M		
700 KOPPERS 436 SEVENTI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
PITTSBURG	H, PA 15219		3727 DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4. 1							
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	i Iv			
O and the Commence of	09/683,761		SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	LIEN TM NGO		3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	luly 2003 .						
<i>,</i>	is action is non-fin	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.					
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o priority under 35	119 0 8 110/2	)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document			on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/683761 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yurkewicz et al. (5,975,369) in view of Boissay (5,992,692).

Yurkewicz et al disclose, in fig. 2, a container closure comprising a shell 12, a tip 48 and a cover 16 having limitations substantially as claimed (also, see applicant disclosure in specification of the present application, page 3, paragraph (0009]), except Yurkewicz et al. do not disclose the cover including internally and radially extending ribs engagable with the tip when the cover is attached to the shell.

Boissay teach, in fig.1, a container closure comprising a cover 16 having internal and radially extending ribs 56 engagable with a tip 32 when the cover is attached to a shell 14.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Yurkewicz et al. cover having extending ribs, as claimed, as taught by Boissay, in order to provide a guiding structure for a proper alignment of the cover at the tip during the closing of the cover.

~ ~

Application/Control Number: 09/683761 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Boissay invention is non-analogous art, and no teaching or suggest of taking the ribs from a non-analogous structure of a non-analogous closure and placing them on the dust cover of the Yurkewicz patent. However, that is not found convincing because it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Yurkewicz disclose a container comprising a shell having a tip and a cover substantially as claimed, except Yurkewicz does not disclose internally extending ribs engagable with the tip when the cover is attached to the shell. Boissay teach, in fig.1, a container closure comprising a cover 16 having internal and radially extending ribs 56 engagable with a tip 32 of a closure shell 14 when the cover is attached to the shell.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Yurkewicz et al. cover having extending ribs, as claimed, as taught by Boissay, in order to provide a guiding structure for a proper alignment of the cover at the tip during the closing of the cover.

Application/Control Number: 09/683761 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/683761

Art Unit: 3727

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0294. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful. The examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached at (703)308-2572. The Group FAX number is (703) 305-3579.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

Lien Ngo

October 1, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700** 

Page 5

5